UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| · 10/552,511 | 10/06/2005 | Takeshi Takada | 032404-085 | 2404 |
| | 7590 11/02/200 INGERSOLL & ROO | EXAMINER | | |
| POST OFFICE | BOX 1404 | PATEL, DH | PATEL, DHIRUBHAI R | |
| ALEXANDRIA | A, VA 22313-1404 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | . 2831 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/02/2007 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com debra.hawkins@bipc.com

Advisory Action Refere the Filing of an Appeal F

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/552,511 | TAKADA ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| DHIRU R. PATEL | 2831 | |

| | Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | DHIRU R. PATEL | 2831 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE | REPLY FILED October 3,2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | |
| | | The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| D) | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN | | | | | | |
| | TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | E FIRST REPET WAS F | ICED WITTIII4 | | | |
| nave under set fo may i | isions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exit 737 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sufficient in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. [| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). MENDMENTS | | | | | | |
| 3. 🗵 | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | , will <u>not</u> be entered b | ecause | | | |
| | (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | • | TE below); | | | | |
| | (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | |
| | appeal; and/or | , | | | | | |
| | (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | |
| _ | NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | • • • | | | | | |
| _ | The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | empliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | . !: 1 | | | |
| э. <u>Г</u> | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 7. 🗵 | For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| _ | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| | DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. [_ | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. 🗀 | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | |
| _ | The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. | | | |
| | ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. [| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | | | | | | |
| 13. [| Other: | _ | DhiruR | ptel | | | |
| | | _ | DHIRU R PATEL Primary Examiner | 10/52/07 | | | |
| | | | Art Unit: 2831 | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendment to claim 6 require further consideration/or search.